

Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Key Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision
Approximate value	<input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000
Director¹	Director of City Development		
Contact person:	Matt Sherwood - Group Engineer FRM Vanessa Allen – Principal Engineer FRM	Telephone number: 0113 378 7418 0113 378 7535	
Subject²:	Approval to proceed with the implementation of the Sheepscar Beck Flood Alleviation Scheme		
Decision details³:	<p>The Chief Officer Highways & Transportation:</p> <p>A. Gave approval to submit the Outline Business Case to the Environment Agency and WYCA, and subsequently agreed:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. To give approval to inject the remaining £883,000 of FDGIA government Grant funding into the scheme (In addition to £60,000 previously injected), Subject to EA approval of the business case.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. To give approval to inject £350,000 WYCA funding into the scheme. Subject to WYCA approval of the business case.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">c. To give approval to transfer £210,000 from the Flood Risk Management Capital Programme Budget scheme 32234/000/000 giving a total value available of £1,563,000.</p> <p>B. Gave approval to submit the Planning Application.</p> <p>C. Gave approval to enter onto private land to carry out these works using the powers under the Land Drainage Act 1991</p> <p>D. Gave approval subject to the acceptance of the OBC to procure Sheepscar Stage 2 through the Leeds CC Bridges Framework.</p> <p>E. Noted the previously approved authority to spend (ATS) of £120,000.</p> <p>F. Gave authority to spend (ATS) approval for the remaining funds of £1,443,000 required to deliver the full scheme subject to approval of the</p>		

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	OBC.
	<p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)</p> <p>Sheepscar Beck is a watercourse located in Leeds city center and is a sub catchment of the larger Meanwood Beck catchment. Sheepscar Beck runs within a system of large culverts & canalised open channels.</p> <p>The channel walls in numerous locations are in poor condition and have been identified to be at risk of collapse, there is historical evidence of this occurring. Collapse of these walls could cause a blockage in the watercourse significantly increasing flood risk either directly or indirectly to residential properties, infrastructure, and businesses. Approximately 255 non-residential properties and 100 residential properties in the area would be at risk as well as critical infrastructure including the A61 Regent Street, A58 Clay Pit Lane and Leeds Bus Station.</p> <p>The scheme received Highways Board approval in 2022 to incur £120,000 expenditure for the preparation of a design and business case and implement a flood alleviation scheme. This is now complete and a viable scheme has been identified to progress through to construction.</p>
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision
Affected wards:	Little London, Woodhouse and Burmantofts & Richmond Hill
Details of consultation undertaken⁴:	<p>Executive Member for Sustainable Development & Infrastructure - provided with a scheme update via email in August 2023</p> <p>Ward Councillors - provided with a scheme update via email in August 2023</p> <p>Chief Digital and Information Officer⁵</p> <p>Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer⁶</p> <p>Others</p>
Implementation	<p>Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation</p> <p>Jonathan Moxon – Executive Manager Flood risk & Climate Resilience</p> <p>Construction Start: Spring 2024</p>

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

⁶ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

	Construction Finish: Summer 2025		
List of Forthcoming Key Decisions ⁷	Date Added to List:- 7 September 2023		
	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision		
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature _____ Date _____		
Publication of report ⁸	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:		
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature _____ Date _____		
Call In	Is the decision available ⁹ for call-in?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	If exempt from call-in , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:		
Approval of Decision	Authorised decision maker ¹⁰ Gary Bartlett – Chief Officer Highways & Transportation		
	Signature G J Bartlett.	Date 28 September 2023	

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.